

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,125	PERRAULT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kamal A. Saeed	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Kamal A. Saeed.

(2) Lucy X Young.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2004

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: In the specification Page 7, line 2, the term "CHF2," is repeated twice; delete the first appearing ""CHF2,"

In claim 24, line 1, delete the term " useful for the process of claim 1".

In claim 24, line 3, the term "CHF2," is repeated twice; delete the first appearing "CHF2,"

Delete non-elected claim 26. .